

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

APR 1 6 2010

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL and FIRST CLASS MAIL

William J. McGinley, Esq. Patton Boggs LLP 2550 M Street, Northwan Washington, DC 20037

RE: MUR 6054

Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer

Dear Mr. McGinley:

On August 26, 2008, the Federal Election Commission notified your clients, Vern Buchanan for Congress, and its treasurer, ("Committee") of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). On October 6, 2008, your clients provided a "Sua Sponte Submission" to the Commission disclosing that Hyundai of North Jacksonville ("HNI"), an automobile dealership in which Representative Buchanan had a financial interest, had reimbursed \$52,000 in contributions to the Committee. On December 31, 2009, the Commission notified the Committee that it had ascertained information in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities that the Committee Hany have knowingly accepted contributions that were made by one person in the name of another person in violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f and 441s(f). On January 15, 2010, the Commission psecided additional information in the name of February 25, 2010, the Commission provided additional information to the Committee indicating that it may have knowingly accepted contributions made in the name of another.

Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaint, information in the Committee's October 6, 2008 submission, and information ascertained by the Commission in the normal ecurae of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, the Commission, on March 17, 2010, found that there is remon to believe that Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer, knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f and 441a(f), provisions of the Act. This Factual and Lagal Analysis, which make fully explains the Commission's finding, is attached for your information.

In the absence of additional information, the Commission may find probable cause to believe that a violation has occurred and proceed with conciliation.

Flease note that your clients have a legal obligation to preserve all documents, records and materials relating to this matter until such time as you are notified that the Commission has closed its file in this matter. See 18 U.S.C. § 1519.

If your clients are interested in pursuing pre-probable cause conciliation, you should so request in writing. See 11 C.F.R. § 111.18(d). Upon receipt of the request, the Office of the General Counsel will make recommendations to the Commission either proposing an agreement in settlement of the matter or recommending declining that pre-probable cause conciliation be pursued. The Office of the General Counsel may recommend that pre-probable cause conciliation not be entered into at this time so that it may complete its investigation of the matter. Further, requests for pre-probable cause consiliation will not be entertained after briefs on probable muse leave been mailed to venr clients.

Requests for extensions of time will not be mutinally granted. Requests must be much in writing at least five days prior to the due date of the response and specific good cause must be demonstrated. In addition, the Office of the General Counsel ordinarily will not give extensions beyond 20 days and the execution of an appropriate tolling agreement will be required.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §§ 437g(a)(4)(B) and 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that your clients wish the investigation to be made public.

If you have any quastions, please crimina Michael Cokarbo or Jack Gould, the attorneys assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

On behalf of the Commission,

Vice Chair

Enclosures

Factual and Legal Analysis

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
5	In the Matter of		
6 7 8 9	Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters, 1) in his official capacity as treasurer)		
10 11	L GENERATION OF MATTER		
12 13	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election		
14	Commission by Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington, Melanic Sloan,		
15	David J. Padilla, and Carlo A. Bell, and by a sua sponts submission by Vern Buchanan		
16	for Congress. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1) and (2).		
17	II. FACTUAL SUMMARY		
18	This matter concerns at least \$70,000 in campaign contributions allegedly		
19	received by Vern Buchanan for Congress ("VBFC" or "Committee") during the 2006 and		
20	2008 election cycles that were reimbursed with the funds of car dealerships in which		
21	Representative Vern Buchanan ("Buchanan") currently holds, or previously held, a		
22	majority ownership interest, including 11-2801 LLC d/b/a Hyundai of North Jacksonville		
23	("HNJ") and 1099 L.C. d/b/a Versite Nissan Dudge ("VND"). There is information that		
24	Buckman and his Committee were awase at the time of the caritributions made by		
25	employees of HNJ that the funds used to make the contributions came from HNJ through		
26	undisclosed reimbursements to the individual contributors.		

¹ Vern Buchanan for Congress amended its statement of organization on March 3, 2009, naming Joseph R. Gruters as treasurer.

MUR 6054
Factual and Legal Analysis
Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters,
in his official capacity as breasurer

1 More specifically, there is information that: 2 Buchanan pressured his minor partners in car dealerships he controlled to contribute to his political campaigns and to raise contributions to VBFC. During his campaigns in the 2005 and 2008 election cycles. Buchanan told Sam Kazzan ("Kazzan"), his partner at HbiJ, to reinforce contributions to VBFC with HNJ funds. • In October 2008, Buchanan presented Kazran with a false affidavit stating that Buchanan was unaware of reimburged contributions at HNJ and pressured him to sign it. As early as 2003. Buchanan authorized the reimbursement of federal 10 11 contributions, despite being told that it was illegal to do so. 12 Based upon this evidence, described more fully below, there is reason to believe 13 that Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer, knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f by knowingly accepting 14 contributions made in the name of another and § 441a(f) by hastwingly assupting 15 excensive containations in violation of applicable law. 2 16

Prior to the General Counsel's Office making any recommendations to the Commission as to whether these is means to believe that the Commission had ascertained information in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities indicating that the Committee may have knowingly accepted contributions that were made by one person in the name of another person in violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(f) and 441f. See letter from Ann Marie Terzaken to the Committee dated December 31, 2009. After a fasther eachurage of coursepontance, on housery 21, 2010, the Commission filet with the Commission a k2hiran that "the Counsistant instruct [SGC] to provide VBEC with the additional information it is withhelding in commetice with deliberations conserving substitute to semantand reason to believe against VBFC in [MUR 6056 and Pre-MUR 479]." The Commission further moved that "the Commission instruct OGC to provide VBFC with a written explanation concerning why the sue sponte submission was marged with MUR 6054 without notifying VBFC and a description of the steps taken by

Factual and Legal Analysis

Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters, in his official especity as treasurer

A. PRESSURE TO RAISE CONTRIBUTIONS

2 There is information that Buchanan pressured his minor partners in the car 3 dealerships he controlled to contribute to his campaigns and raise contributions from 4 dealership managers, employees, vendors, and customers. Specifically, there is information that (1) Buchanan asked his pateners during partnership massings and plane 5 calls to fundacion for his sumpaign; (2) pressure to raise funds intensified as the prespaign 6 7 progressed; and (3) the expectation was that the partners needed to contribute and raise contributions from others. 8 At the relevant times, Buchanan was the majority owner of approximately fifteen 9 to seventeen car dealerships, primarily located in Florida. House of Representatives 10 11 Financial Disclosure Reports for Vernon Buchanan (May 11, 2006). Each dealership was typically structured as a limited liability company owned by a company that Buchanan 12 13 fully owned and a business partner who was responsible for the daily operation of the 14 destination. All of the Buchstan companies that held Buchstan's controlling ownership 15 share of the dtalerships were rea fitted a single composite office by a common set of 16 managers. The common executives who managed Buchanatr's controlling intracest in the 17 declerships included John Tosch as Chief Executive Officer and Deanis Slater as

the OGC in connection with these matters." On Bohnsay 5, 2840, the General Counsel's Office sent a letter to VBFC advising that the Commission was not granting the relief in the requested Motion, and inviting the Committee to file any information it deemed relevant by February 11, 2010. The Committee did use providency additional information. Prior to the Committee sent a letter to VBFC providing additional information so that the Committee could supplement its sua aposte submission by responding to "specific allegations" any fairth as to the Committee and offering an oppositualty to respend. The Committee did not respond.

³ The partners during the 2005-2006 election cycle included, among others, David Long (Sensota.Ford), Shelby Curtaineer (Venice Nissan Dodge), and Sam Kazran (Hyundai of Jacksonville).

Factual and Legal Analysis
Vern Buchanun for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters,
in his official capacity as treasurer

- 1 Corporate Controller and, later, Chief Operating Officer. All of the operating partners as
- 2 well as Buchanan and his corporate managers (John Tosch, Dennis Slater, and others)
- 3 attended monthly partner meetings.
- 4 There is information that (1) Buchanan informed the partners that he would be
- 5 running for Congress and would like their help in mising assembly; (2) Buchman
- 6 repeatedly asked for contributions, noting at times that it was the end of the FEC
- 7 reporting period and that he needed to have money; and (3) as time went along.
- 8 Buchanan's intensity with raising funds grew.
- There is information that Buchanan's partners considered their efforts for
- 10 Buchanan's campaign to be a favor for Buchanan at first, but they later tired of it, and
- 11 some partners felt that it was expected that they contribute or they felt compelled to
- 12 contribute. There is information that the solicited partners would in turn solicit
- 13 dealership general managers who would solicit their lower level managers and ask them
- 14 to write a check to VBFC, which in some cases they would reimburse. The general
- 15 mangas and lower level managers were sometimes solicited for smaller contributions.
- 16 There is informatitin that some partners extensively, and in some cases repeatedly,
- 17 solicited dealership vendors, customers, and employees.

⁵ The information indicates that although partners discussed fundraising with Buchanan, he was not the only person connected to the campaign that partners worked with on fundraising. A woman working for Buchanan in his corporate headquarters, who helped with the campaign and eventually became the campaign's spokesperson, sometimes called partners to ask them to change the amount on the contribution checks, follow-up when a contribution did not arrive on time, or otherwise apply pressure to send the money.

Factual and Legal Analysis
Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters,
in his official capacity as treasurer

There is information that Buchanan did not use his own money for his campaign because doing so would not look as impressive as if the money was raised from others.

B. BUCHANAN'S ALLEGED INSTRUCTION TO REIMBURSE CONTRIBUTIONS WITH DEALERSHIP FUNDS

There is information that Buchanan instructed a business partner to have contributors make contributors from joint classicing accorates to pasmit higher contributors from each contributor and directed him to reimbure the contributors using dealership funds.

1. Contributions Reimbursed with HNJ funds in 2005

There is information that in November 2005, Buchanan told his partner Sam Kazran that he had to gather as much money as possible and that he expected Kazran to raise \$50,000 in contributions to VBFC. There is also information that Buchanan instructed Kazran that the personal checking accounts on which the employee's contribution checks were written should have both the husband and wife's names on them, and that the contributions should be reimbursed using HNJ funds. There is also information that as a result, MNJ employers and their spouses contributed to VBFC and their contributions were reimbursed with HNJ funds. HNJ membursed \$16,800 in contributions in 2005, all dated November 29, 2005:

Lephart, Ernest C.	11/29/2005	\$2,100.00
Lephart, Ernest C.	11/29/2005	\$2,100.00
Lephart, Gayle	11/29/2005	\$2,100,00
Lephart, Gayle	11/29/2005	\$2,100.00
Smith, Diana M.	11/29/2005	\$2,100.00
Smith, Diana M.	11/29/2005	\$2,100.00
Smith, Gary W.	11/29/2005	\$2,100.00

Factual and Legal Analysis

Vern Buchman for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer

Smith, Gary W. 11/29/2005 \$2,100.00

1

5

There is information that HNJ gave employees the reimbursements on the same

day as their contributions because they did not have enough money in their accounts to

cover the contributions.

2. Contributions Reimbursed with HNJ funds in 2006

There is information that at the request of Buchanan, another \$32,700 in contributions made to VBFC was reimbursed with HNJ funds in January, March, and

8 June 2006, as set forth in the chart below.

Sams, Vincent G.	1/02/2006	\$2,100.00
Sams, Viricent G.	1/02/2006	\$2,100.00
Sams, Patricia G.	1/02/2006	\$2,100.00
Sanz, Patricia G.	1/02/2006	\$1,200.0G
Farid, Atefah K.	3/31/2006	\$2,100.00
Farid, Atefah K.	3/31/2006	\$2,100.00
Farid, Joshua	3/31/2006	\$2,100.00
Fanid, Joshua	3/31/2006	\$2,100.00
Cutaja, Doreen, A.	6/28/2006	\$2,100.00
Cutaia, Doreen A.	6/28/2006	\$2,100.00
Cutaia, Joseph P.	6/28/2006	\$2,100.00
Cutaia, Joseph P.	6/28/2006	\$2,100.00
Khazravan, Eric	6/28/2006	\$2,100.00
Khazrevan, Eric	6/28/2006	\$2,100.00
Khazravan, Heidi	6/28/2006	\$2,100.00
Khazravan, Heidi	6/28/2006	\$2,100.00

9 10

11

12

13

There is information that in June of 2006 Buchanan tried to induce a business partner at HNJ to raise money for VEFC as part of negotiations for the partner's purchase of Buchanan's share of another automobile dealership. There is information that Buchanan offered to accept a proposed payment plan if the business partner committed to

Factual and Legal Analysis

Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters,
in his official capacity as treasurer

- raising \$25,000 to \$50,000 for VBFC. There is also information that when the business
- 2 partner explained he would be unable to obtain such contributions, Buchanan told him to
- 3 reimburse the contributions using company funds.
- 4 3. Contributions Reimbursed with HNJ funds in 2007
- 5 There is information that HNJ funds were again used to reimburse contributions
- 6 in 2007, totaling \$18,400:

		
Cutaia, Doreen A.	12/31/2007	\$2,300.00
Cutaia, Doreen A.	12/31/2007	\$2,300.00
Champ, Stephanie K.	12/31/2007	\$2,300.00
Champ, Stephanie K.	12/31/2007	\$2,300.00
	12/31/2007	\$2,300.00
Lephart, Ernest C.	1	
Lephart, Ernest C. Lephart, Gayle	12/31/2007 12/31/2007	\$2,300.00 \$2,300.00
Lephart, Gayle	12/31/2007	\$2,300.00

7

11

There is information that the total amount of reimbursed contributions to VBFC

- 9 using HNJ funds was approximately \$67,900: \$16,800 in 2005, \$32,700 in 2006, and
- 10 18,400 in 2007.
 - 4. Other Reimbursement Activities
- 12 There is information that at least one other Buchanan dealership, VND,
- reimbursed five employees' \$1,000 contributions to VBFC. There is information that
- 14 these bonuses are not reflected in the company's payroll records. There is information
- 15 that Buchanan's business partner at VND, Shelby Curtsinger, had at one time complained

Factual and Legal Analysis

Vern Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters,
in his official capacity as treasurer

that he would no longer reimburse contributions to VBFC because of cash flow problems
 at the dealership.

i

C. ALLEGED FALSE AFFIDAVIT

There is information that on October 2, 2008, counsel for Buchanan presented 4 5 Sam Kazran, his flamer partner at HNJ, with a false affidavit stating that Bechanen was 6 unaware of raimbursed contributions at HNJ. Bunhanan and Karran were in the process 7 of negotiating a deal in which Buchanan would purchase Kazran's share of a dealership and repay funds that Buchanan had withdrawn from the dealership. An email made 8 during the negotiation of this agreement suggests that the repayment of the HNJ funds 9 used to reimburse campaign contributions was part of the negotiations. On October 1, 10 2008. Kazran wrote to an attorney representing Buchanan in the business matter about the 11 12 possible terms of an agreement with Buchanan. Vern had mentioned he would want to reimburse the stores a bill that he 13

Vern had mentioned he would want to reimburse the stores a bill that he and I spoke of, the total amount is \$83,500, He has copies of 52k, if he likes I can get the rest or he can verify through his record. This was at his request.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

14

15

3

This portion of an otherwise straightforward email about the necessary issue, financing, and collateral for an agreement between Buchanan and Kazran appears to refer to Buchanan's repayment to HNJ for the campaign contributions Sam Kazran reimbursed using HNJ funds. Kazran sent Buchanan's CEO, John Tosch, copies of the contribution checks that he had people write to VBFC (which were reimbursed by HNJ) in order to substantiate the amounts that Buchanan should repay.

MUR 6054
Factual and Legal Analysis
Vern Buchenen for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters,
in his official supecity as Breakurer

1	An email from Kazran to Tosch several days later suggests that as part of this
2	settlement, Buchanan and Kazran discussed a proposal by Buchanan to repay HNJ for its
3	reimbursement of contributions to VBFC. On October 5, 2008, Sam Kazran emailed
4	John Tosch stating, among other things:
5 6 7 8 9	Vern and I will talk about the fast part without attornies[sic], I think I have a suggestion that will make him happy He wants to cut a check for all the amount, I have about 70h the included down the rest are credit cards, if he wants to verify, I have to call the dampaign manager to ask her for datails, if you can have someone do that I would app[re]ciste it.
10 11	Buchanan and Tosch signed a draft agreement (hereinafter, the "Buchanan Offer
12	Letter") dated October 2, 2008, offering to Kazran that they "resolve all existing claims
13	among them with respect to all past and current business relationships." The Buchanan
14	Offer Letter is dated one day after Kazran's email communication to Buchanan's
15	attorney.
16	Paragraph 12 of the Buchanan Offer Letter, however, required Kazran to sign an
17	affidavit attached to the agreement. Exhibit A of the Buchanan Offer Letter, titled
18	"Affidavit of them Kazran a/k/a Sam Khazawan," included the statements:
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	4. During the course of tense and somewhat hostile negotiations between my lawyers and me, and representatives for Buchanan, I advised a representative of Buchanan that one or more of the dealerships of which I was in operational control had reimbursed certain individuals who had contributed to the Buchanan for Congress campaign.
26 27 28 29 39 31	5. Before September, 2008, meither I nor to my knowledge, any other person who had ever advised Buchanan or any of his representatives had any information that one or both of the dealerships seferred to in 1 above [HNJ] reinformed surtain individuals for contributions made to the Vernon G. Buchanan for Congress campaign.

MUR 6054

Factual and Legal Analysis

Vern Buchähan för Congress and Joseph R. Gruters, in his official supucity as treatmer

7. No one has advised me that Buchanan or any representative of his knew of any intention, plan or arrangement by anyone to make a reimbursement, directly or indirectly, to a person in exchange for making a contribution to the Buchanan for Congress campaign.

Buchanan Offer Letter at 2.

These is information that Kazran refused to sign the affidavit, which stated that

Buchanan did not know about the saimbursements, because that statement was false.

D. BUCHANAN'S KNOWLEDGE OF THE LAW

There is information that the earliest indication that Buchanan authorized his business partners to reimburse federal contributions using company funds was in 2003, before his candidacy. There is information that Buchanan pressured managers and employees to attend a George W. Bush/Republican National Committee joint fundraiser and contribute \$1,000 each. There is also information that around this time, Buchanan instructed that Don Jenkins, a business partner of Buchanan, be reimbursed for Jenkins' political contribution by V.B. Investments, of which Basilaman oward 51% at the time. Finally, thate is information that although Ruchanan was warned on this (assession that reimbursing contributions was illegal, he diseated it sometheless.

III. ANALYSIS

The Act prohibits any person from making a contribution in the name of another person. 2 U.S.C. § 441f. The Act also prohibits any person from knowingly accepting a contribution made by one person in the name of another person. Id.⁶ In addition, any

⁶ On June 8, 2009, a federal district court judge in California dismissed criminal charges that Pierce O'Donnell violated 6 441 f by reimbursing conduit contributions to the 2004 presidential campaign of

19

MUR 6054

Factual and Legal Analysis

Vern Buchtman for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters,
in his official capacity as transcer.

1 candidate who receives a contribution in connection with a campaign shall be considered 2 as having received the contribution as an agent of his or her authorized committee. 3 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(2). In the 2006 election cycle, the individual contribution limit for giving to candidate committees was \$2.100 per election, and in the 2608 election cycle, it 4 was \$2,300 per election. The contributions of a partnership are attributed to both the 5 partners and the partnership itself, that is, the pastnership itself is subject to that 6 7 contribution limit in effect at the time for individuals. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e). Accordingly, a partnership reimbursing contributions totaling more than \$2,100 (in the 8 9 2006 cycle) or \$2,300 (in the 2008 cycle) per election would also constitute the making of an excessive contribution. Finally, committees may not knowingly accept excessive 10 11 contributions 2 U.S.C. §441a(f). VBFC, in its sua sponte submission, acknowledges that it received \$52,000 in 12 13 contributions made with HNJ funds in the names of others. VBFC Sua Sponte 14 Submission at 2. There is information that establishes a reason to investigate whether Rep. Verman Burkaman, the majority restaer in several automobils dealerships including 15 HNJ, disacted at seast one of his minority pasters in use Bunhanan dealership funds to 16 contribute to Vern Buchanan for Congress by reimbursing campaign contributions made 17

by (in the name of) dealership employees. Because Vern Buchanan for Congress is

Buchanan's principal campaign committee and, therefore, Buchanan is an agent of the

§§ 441f and 441a(f).

Factual and Legal Analysis

Vern Buchaman for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer

1 Committee, his alleged role in the alleged reimbursement of Buchanan dealership 2 employee contributions may indicate that the Committee knowingly and willfully 3 accepted contributions in the name of another and that it knowingly and willfully 4 accepted excessive contributions from FINJ. 5 An investigation into the Committee's knowledge of the reimbursements and the 6 law is necessary given the information that Parainnan instructed the mining of 7 contributions to VBFC by having employees contribute to VBFC and reimburging those 8 employee (and spousal) contributions using the funds of HNJ, and by asking a partner to 9 sign an affidavit regarding those contributions that Buchanan allegedly knew to be false. 10 There is also information that indicates that Buchanan asked partners to raise money for 11 the campaign, and at least some partners believed that they needed to contribute. The 12 information further indicates that Buchanan instructed the reimbursement of another 13 contribution despite being repeatedly advised that reimbursing contributions was illegal. 14 The reimbursement of contributions also appears to have included contributions made by 15 VND employees. 16 There is information that supports an investigation into whether VEFC knowingly 17 and willfully received contributions in the name of another and excessive contributions, 18 that is, contributions from Buchanan dealerships that reimbursed contributions made in 19 the name of their employees, including \$67,900 in contributions from employees of HNJ 20 and \$5,000 in contributions from employees of VND. Accordingly, there is reason to 21 believe that Vern Buchanan for Congress knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C.

Factual and Legal Analysis

Vern Buchsman for Congruss and Joseph R. Gruters, in his official organity as treasurer

1 IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

- 2 Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds reason to believe that Vern
- 3 Buchanan for Congress and Joseph R. Gruters, in his official capacity as treasurer,
- 4 knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441f and 441a(f).